

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,790	09/08/2003	Kirk M. Thomas	21346	1826
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
David R. McKinney THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E	
P.O. Box 1219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3751	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,790	THOMAS, KIRK M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E. Phillips	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	coloction requirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
The patrol declaration is objected to by the L	.xamiller. Note the attached On	nice Action of John 1 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	s. o. the defined copies not rect					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	all Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,790

Art Unit: 3751

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Fig. 6a and Fig.6b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,790 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Upon the election of one of the above inventions the following election of a subspecies is required between Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.

A telephone call was made to Mr. McKinney on 5/21/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E. Phillips at telephone number 703-308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner